arXiv:1604.08692v1 [cs.IT] 29 Apr 2016

Optimal data recovery and forecasting with dummy long-horizon forecasts

Nikolai Dokuchaev

May 2, 2016

Abstract

The paper suggests a method of recovering of missing values based on optimal approximation by band-limited processes for sequences, i.e. discrete time processes, that are not necessarily band-limited. The problem is considered in the pathwise setting, without using probabilistic assumptions on the ensemble. The method requires to solve a closed linear equation in the time domain connecting the available observations of the underlying process with the values of the band-limited process outside the observation range. Some robustness with respect to noise contamination is established for the suggested recovering algorithm. It is suggested to apply the data recovery algorithm to a forecasting problem considered as a data recovery problem that is solvable via interpolation with a dummy long-horizon forecast.

Key words: data recovery, discrete time, Z-transform, band-limited interpolation, low-pass filters, Tikhonov regularization.

1 Introduction

The paper considers data recovery problems for sequences in pathwise setting, i.e. without probabilistic assumptions using the approach suggested in [8] for band-limited extensions of one-sided sequences For continuous data, the recoverability is associated with smoothness or analytical properties of the processes. For discrete time processes, it is less obvious how to interpret analyticity; so far, these problems were studied in a stochastic setting, where an observed process is deemed to be representative of an ensemble of paths with the probability distribution that is either known or can be estimated from repeating experiments. For stochastic stationary Gaussian processes

with the spectral density ϕ, a classical result is that a missing single value is recoverable with zero error if and only if

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \phi \left(e^{i\omega}\right)^{-1} d\omega = -\infty. \tag{1}$$

(Kolmogorov [17], Theorem 24). Stochastic stationary Gaussian processes without this property are called *minimal* [17]. In particular, a process is recoverable if it is "band-limited" meaning that the spectral density is vanishing on an arc of the unit circle $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : \ |z| = 1\}$. This illustrates how recoverability is connected with bandlimitiness or its relaxed versions. Respectively, it is common to use band-limited approximations of non-bandlimited underlying processes for the forecasting and other applications. There are many works devoted to causal smoothing and sampling, oriented on estimation and minimization of norm of the error, especially in stochastic setting; see e.g. [1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 2, 23, 24, 26, 25, 27, 29, 30]. Some analogs of criterion (1) or error-free recoverability were obtained in [10].

The present paper considers optimal data recovering problem for sequences that are not necessary parts of band-limited processes. We consider the problem in the deterministic setting, i.e. pathwise. This means that the method has to rely on the intrinsic properties of a sole underlying sequence without appealing to statistical properties of an ensemble of sequences. An estimate of the missing value has to be done based on the intrinsic properties of this sole sequence and the observed values. The paper suggests a method of optimal recovering missing values of sequences (discrete time processes) based on extension of the approach from [8]. The optimality criterion is pathwise; it does not involve an expectation on a probability space.

For the case of multiple missing data, the method requires to solve a convenient closed linear equation connecting directly the set of past observations of the underlying process with the set of future values of the band-limited process (equation (5) in Theorem 1 and equation (9) in Theorem 2 below). The equations are finite dimensional for the case of a finite number of missing values.

We study these equation in the time domain, without transition to the frequency domain; therefore, the selection of the basis in the frequency domain is not required. In particular, this allows to avoid calculation of Slepian's type basis [22]. We established solvability and uniqueness of the solution of the recovering problem. Furthermore, we established numerical stability and robustness of the method with respect to the input errors and data truncation. For the case of a large set of missing values, this would require to impose a penalty on the norm of the approximation

process, i.e. to run a Tikhonov regularization. We found that this regularization can be achieved with an arbitrarily small modification of the optimization problem (Theorem 2). For the case of a small finite set of missing values, this regularization is not required. For the case of a single missing value, an explicit solution is obtained (Example 1).

We suggest some application to forecasting. Approximation of underlying processes by smooth predicable processes is a traditional forecasting tool. In the present paper, we suggest to supplement regularization by a penalty on the growth of the solution by regularization via including some dummy long-horizon forecast and considering forecasting as a data recovery problem, with missing data between current time and times covered by this long-term forecast. In other words, we have to replace the extrapolation of the past path by interpolation between the past path and this dummy long-horizon forecast. It appears that this helps to stabilize the numerical solution similarly to the penalty on the growth. Of course, the choice of this dummy long-term forecast have am impact on the short-horizon forecast; however, we found that this impact is mild with appropriate choices of the horizon. The sustainability of the method is illustrated with some numerical experiments.

2 Some definitions and background

Let $\mathbb{Z}$ be the set of all integers, and let $\mathbb{Z}^- = \{t \in \mathbb{Z} : \ t \le 0\}$.

Let $D \subset \mathbb{Z}$ be a given set such that there exist $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that either $\{t : \ t \le s\} \subset D$ or $\{t : \ t \ge s\} \subset D$. Let $M = \mathbb{Z} \setminus D$; this set can finite or infinite.

For a set $G \subset \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $\ell_2(G)$ a Hilbert space of real valued sequences $\{x(t)\}_{t\in G}$ such that $\|x\|_{\ell_2(G)} = \left(\sum_{t\in G} |x(t)|^2\right)^{1/2} < +\infty$. Let $\ell_2 = \ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Similarly, we denote by $\ell_2(\theta,\tau)$ a Hilbert space of real valued sequences $\{x(t)\}_{t=\theta}^{\tau}$ such that $\|x\|_{\ell_2(\theta,\tau)} = \left(\sum_{t=\theta}^{\tau} |x(t)|^2\right)^{1/r} < +\infty$.

Let ℓ_2^M be the subspace in ℓ_2 consisting of all $x \in \ell_2$ such that $x(t) = 0$ for $t \in D$.

We assume that we are given $\Omega \in (0,\pi)$.

Let $\mathbb{B}$ be the set of all mappings $X : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbf{C}$ such that $X\left(e^{i\omega}\right) \in L_2(-\pi,\pi)$ and $X\left(e^{i\omega}\right) = 0$ for $|\omega| > \Omega$. We will call the corresponding processes $x = \mathcal{Z}^{-1}X$ *band-limited*.

Let ℓ_2^{BL} be the set of all band-limited processes from ℓ_2, and let $\ell_2^{BL}(D)$ be the subset of $\ell_2(D)$ consisting of traces $\{\widehat{x}(t)\}_{t\in D}$ for all sequences $\widehat{x} \in \ell_2^{BL}$.

We will use the notation $\operatorname{sinc}(x) = \sin(x)/x$, and we will use notation $\circ$ for the convolution in ℓ_2.

Let $H(z)$ be the transfer function for an ideal low-pass filter such that $H\left(e^{i\omega}\right) = \mathbb{I}_{[-\Omega,\Omega]}(\omega)$, where $\mathbb{I}$ denotes the indicator function. Let $h = \mathcal{Z}^{-1}H$; it is known that $h(t) = \Omega \operatorname{sinc}(\Omega t)/\pi$. The definitions imply that $h \circ x \in \ell_2^{BL}$ for any $x \in \ell_2$.

Proposition 1. *For any $x \in \ell_2^{BL}(D)$, there exists a unique $\widehat{x} \in \ell_2^{BL}$ such that $\widehat{x}(t) = x(t)$ for $t \leq 0$.*

Proposition 1 implies that the trace $\{\widehat{x}(t)\}_{t\in M}$ of a band-limited process is uniquely defined by its trace $\{\widehat{x}(t)\}_{t\in D}$. This can be considered as reformulation in the deterministic setting of a sufficient condition of predictability implied by the classical Szegö-Kolmogorov Theorem known for stationary Gaussian processes [17, 23, 24].

3 The main results

We consider below input processes $x \in \ell_2(D)$ and their band-limited approximations. The sequences $\{x(t)\}_{t\in D}$ represent the historical data available at the current time $t = 0$; the values for $t \in M$ are unavailable.

Equations for optimal recovering

Clearly, it is impossible to apply the ideal low-pass filter directly to the underlying processes $x \in \ell_2(D)$ since the convolution with h requires the values for $t \in M$ that are unavailable. We will be using approximation described in the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *There exists a unique optimal solution $\widehat{x} \in \ell_2^{BL}$ of the minimization problem*

$$\textit{Minimize} \quad \sum_{t\in D} |x_{BL}(t) - x(t)|^2 \quad \textit{over} \quad x_{BL} \in \ell_2^{BL}. \tag{2}$$

Under the assumptions of Lemma 1, there exists a unique band-limited process $\widehat{x}$ such that the trace $\widehat{x}|_{t\in D}$ provides an optimal approximation of its observable trace $\{x(t)\}_{t\in D}$. The corresponding trace $\{\widehat{x}(t)\}_{t\in M}$ can be interpreted as a result of optimal recovery of missed trace $x|_M$ (optimal in the sense of problem (2) given Ω). We will suggest below a method of calculation of

this trace $\{\widehat{x}(t)\}_{t\in M}$ only; the calculation of the trace $\{\widehat{x}(t)\}_{t\in D}$ will not be required and will be excluded.

Let $A : \ell_2^M \to \ell_2^M$ be an operator defined as

$$Ay = \mathbb{I}_M(h \circ y). \tag{3}$$

Consider a mapping $\nu : \ell_2(D) \to \ell_2$ such that $\nu(x)(t) = x(t)$ for $t \in D$ and $\nu(x)(t) = 0$ for $t \in M$.

Let a mapping $a : \ell_2(D) \to \ell_2^M$ be defined as

$$a(x) = \mathbb{I}_M \left(h \circ (\nu(x))\right). \tag{4}$$

Since $h(t) = \Omega \operatorname{sinc}(\Omega t)/\pi$, the operator A can be represented as a matrix with the components

$$A_{t,m} = \mathbb{I}_{\{t,m\in M\}} \frac{\Omega}{\pi} \operatorname{sinc}\left[\Omega(t-m)\right], \quad t, m \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and a process $a(x) = \{a(x,t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}$ can be represented as a vector

$$a(x,t) = \mathbb{I}_{\{t\in M\}} \frac{\Omega}{\pi} \sum_{m\in D} x_m \operatorname{sinc}\left[\Omega(t-m)\right], \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The following lemma shows that the mapping A is not a contraction but it is close to a contraction.

Lemma 2. *1. For any $y \in \ell_2^M$ such that $y \neq 0$, $\|Ay\|_{\ell_2^M} < \|y\|_{\ell_2^M}$.*

2. The operator $A : \ell_2^M \to \ell_2^M$ has the norm $\|A\| \leq 1$.

3. If the set M is finite, then the operator $A : \ell_2^M \to \ell_2^M$ has the norm $\|A\| < 1$.

Theorem 1. *For any $x \in \ell_2(D)$, the equation*

$$y = Ay + a(x) \tag{5}$$

has a unique solution $\widehat{y} = \mathbb{I}_M \widehat{x} \in \ell_2^M$.

The trace $\widehat{y}|_{t\in M}$ of the solution in Theorem 1 is the sought extension on M of the optimal band-limited $\widehat{x}$ approximating the observed sequence $\{x(t)\}_{t\in D}$.

Theorem 1 represents a generalization of Theorem 1, where M is a singleton. Some examples of application of Theorem 1 are given below.

Example 1. Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ be given. By Theorem 1, the problem of optimal recovering a single missing value $x(s)$ for $x \in \ell_2(D)$, where $D = \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{s\}$, has an unique solution given

$$\widehat{x}(s) = \frac{\Omega}{\pi - \Omega} \sum_{m \neq s} x(m) \mathrm{sinc}\,[\Omega(s-m)]. \tag{6}$$

This solution is optimal in the sense of problem (2) given Ω, with $M = \{s\}$. In addition,

$$|\widehat{x}(0)| \leq \frac{\Omega}{\pi - \Omega} \|x\|_{\ell_2(D)}.$$

To obtain this, we have to apply Theorem 1 with $M = \{s\}$. We have that the mapping $y \to y(s)$ is a bijection between ℓ_2^M and $\mathbf{R}$, $A_{t,m} = \frac{\Omega}{\pi}\mathbb{I}_{\{t=s,m=s\}}$, and optimal solution of the recovering problem is $y = \{y(t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is such that $y(t) = 0$ for $t \neq s$, and

$$y(s) = \frac{\Omega}{\pi} y(s) + \frac{\Omega}{\pi} \sum_{m \neq 0} x(m) \mathrm{sinc}\,[\Omega(s-m)]$$

or

$$y(s) = \left(1 - \frac{\Omega}{\pi}\right)^{-1} \frac{\Omega}{\pi} \sum_{m \neq s} x(m) \mathrm{sinc}\,[\Omega(s-m)]. \tag{7}$$

It gives equation (6) for the result $\widehat{x}(s) = y(s)$ of the optimal recovering of the missing value $x(s)$. □

Remark 1. *Formula (6) applied to $x_{BL} \in \ell_2^{BL}$ gives a well-known formula*

$$x_{BL}(s) = \frac{\Omega}{\pi - \Omega} \sum_{m \neq s} x_{BL}(m) \mathrm{sinc}\,[\Omega(s-m)]$$

that follows from the Shannon sampling theorem; see e.g. [16]. The difference with (6) is that x is (6) is not necessarily band-limited.

Example 2. If $M = \{0, 1, 2\}$, then the problem becomes the problem of optimal recovery of a missing value $x(0)$. In this case, the result $\{\widehat{x}(t)\}_{t=0,1,2} = \{y(t)\}_{t=0,1,2}$ of the optimal recovering is $(I - \bar{A})^{-1}\bar{a}$, where I is the unit matrix in $\mathbf{R}^{3\times 3}$,

$$\bar{A} = \{A_{t,m}\}_{t,m=0}^{3} = \frac{\Omega}{\pi} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathrm{sinc}\,(\Omega) & \mathrm{sinc}\,(2\Omega) \\ \mathrm{sinc}\,(\Omega) & 1 & \mathrm{sinc}\,(\Omega) \\ \mathrm{sinc}\,(2\Omega) & \mathrm{sinc}\,(\Omega) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^{3\times 3},$$

$$\bar{a} = \{a(x,t)\}_{t=0,1,2} \in \mathbf{R}^3, \qquad a(x,t) = \frac{\Omega}{\pi} \sum_{m \notin \{0,1,2\}} x_m \mathrm{sinc}\,[\Omega(t-m)].$$

It will be shown below that the matrix $I - \bar{A}$ is invertible.

Regularized setting

Let us consider a modification the original problem (2)

$$\text{Minimize} \quad \sum_{t\in D} |x_{BL}(t) - x(t)|^2 + \rho\|x_{BL}\|_{\ell_2}^2 \quad \text{over} \quad x_{BL} \in \ell_2^{BL}. \tag{8}$$

Here $\rho \geq 0$ is a parameter.

The setting with $\rho > 0$ helps to prevent selection of $\widehat{x}$ with excessive norm. It can noted that it is common to put restrictions on the norm of the optimal process in data recovery, extrapolation, and interpolation problems in signal processing; see e.g. [1, 4, 25].

Lemma 1 can be generalized as the following.

Lemma 3. *For any $\rho \geq 0$ and $x \in \ell_2(D)$, there exists a unique optimal solution $\widehat{x}_\rho$ of the minimization problem (8). In addition, $\widehat{x}_\rho = (1+\rho)^{-1}\widehat{x}_0$.*

In these notations, $\widehat{x}_0$ is the optimal process presented in Lemma 1.

Under the assumptions of Lemma 3, the trace on M of the band-limited solution $\widehat{x}_\rho$ of problem (8) can be interpreted as a result of optimal recovery of the missed trace of $x|_M$ (optimal in the sense of problem (8) given Ω and ρ). Let us derive an equation for this solution.

Let $I : \ell_2^M \to \ell_2^M$ be the identity operator.

Let $A_\rho = (1+\rho)^{-1}A$ and $a_\rho(x) = (1+\rho)^{-1}a(x)$, where A and $a(x)$ are such as defined above.

It follows immediately from Lemma 2(ii) that, for any $\rho > 0$, $\|A_\rho\| < 1$. Hence the operator $(I - A_\rho)^{-1} : \ell_2^M \to \ell_2^M$ is continuous and

$$\left\|(I - A_\rho)^{-1}\right\| < +\infty,$$

for the corresponding norm. In addition, by the properties of projections presented in the definition for $a(x)$, we have that $\|a_\rho(x)\|_{\ell_2^M} \leq \|x\|_{\ell_2(D)}$.

Theorem 1 stipulates that equation (5) has a unique solution. However, this theorem does not establish the continuity of the dependence of $\widehat{y}$ on the input $x|_{t\in D}$. The following theorem shows that regularity of solutions is feasible for problem (8) with $\rho > 0$.

Theorem 2. *1. For any $\rho > 0$ and $x \in \ell_2(D)$, the equation*

$$(1+\rho)y = Ay + a(x) \tag{9}$$

has a unique solution $y_\rho = \mathbb{I}_M \widehat{x}_\rho = (I - A_\rho)^{-1} a_\rho(x)$ *in* ℓ_2^M. *Furthermore, for any* $\rho > 0$,

$$\|y_\rho\|_{\ell_2^M} \le \left\|(I - A_\rho)^{-1}\right\| \|x\|_{\ell_2(D)} \tag{10}$$

for any $x \in \ell_2(D)$.

2. *If the set* M *is finite, then statement (i) holds for* $\rho = 0$ *as well.*

Similarly to Theorem 1, the trace $\widehat{y}_\rho|_{t\in M}$ of the solution in Theorem 2 is the sought extension on M of the optimal band-limited $\widehat{x}$ approximating the observed sequence $\{x(t)\}_{t\in D}$ (optimal in the sense of problem (8) given Ω and ρ).

Replacement of the original problem by problem (8) with $\rho \to 0$ can be regarded as a Tikhonov regularization of the original problem. By Theorem 2, it leads to solution featuring continuous dependence on x in the corresponding ℓ_2-norm.

Remark 2. *Since the operator* A_ρ *is a contraction, the solution of (9) can be approximated by partial sums* $\sum_{k=0}^{d} A_\rho^k a_\rho(x)$.

4 Numerical stability and robustness

Let us consider a situation where an input process $x \in \ell_2(D)$ is observed with an error. In other words, assume that we observe a process $x_\eta = x + \eta$, where $\eta \in \ell_2(0, +\infty)$ is a noise. Let y_η be the corresponding solution of equation (14) with x_η as an input, and let y be the corresponding solution of equation (14) with x as an input. By Theorem 2, it follows immediately that, for $\rho > 0$,

$$\|y - y_\eta\|_{\ell_2^M} \le \left\|(I - A_\rho)^{-1}\right\| \|\eta\|_{\ell_2(D)} \quad \text{for all} \quad \eta \in \ell_2(D).$$

This demonstrates some robustness of the method with respect to the noise in the observations.

In particular, this ensures robustness with respect to truncation of the input processes, such that semi-infinite sequences $x \in \ell_2(D)$ are replaced by truncated sequences $x_\eta(t) = x(t)\mathbb{I}_{\{|t|\le q\}}$ for $q > 0$; in this case $\eta(t) = \mathbb{I}_{|t|\le q} x(t)$ is such that $\|\eta\|_{\ell_2(D)} \to 0$ as $q \to +\infty$. This overcomes principal impossibility to access infinite sequences of observations.

In practice, only finite-dimensional systems of linear equations can be solved numerically. This means that, in the case where the set M is infinite, equation (9) with an infinite matrix A cannot

be solved numerically even for truncated inputs, since it involves a sequence $a(x)$ that has an infinite support for truncated x. Therefore, we have to apply the method with A replaced by its truncated version. We will consider below the impact of truncation of matrix A.

Robustness with respect to the data errors and truncation

For the case where the set M is infinite, let us consider replacement of the matrix $A = \{A_{t,m}\}_{k,m\in\mathbb{Z}}$ in equation (9) by truncated matrices $A_N = \{A_{N,t,m}\}_{t,m\in\mathbb{Z}} = \{\mathbb{I}_{|t|\le N,|m|\le N} A_{t,m}\}_{t,m\in\mathbb{Z}}$ for integers $N > 0$. This addresses the restrictions on the data size for numerical methods. Again, we consider a situation where an input process is observed with an error. In other words, we assume that we observe a process $x_\eta = x + \eta \in \ell_2(D)$, where $\eta \in \ell_2(D)$ is a noise. As was mentioned above, this allows to take into account truncation of the inputs as well.

Let us show that the method is with respect to these variations.

Lemma 4. *For any $N > 0$, the following holds.*

1. $\|A_N y\|_{\ell_2^M} < \|y\|_{\ell_2^M}$ *for any* $y \in \ell_2^M$ *such that* $\inf_{|t|>N} |y(t)| > 0$.
2. $\|A_N y\|_{\ell_2^M} \le \|y\|_{\ell_2^M}$ *for any* $y \in \ell_2^M$.
3. *For any $N > 0$, the operator $(I - A_N)^{-1} : \ell_2^M \to \ell_2^M$ is continuous and, for the corresponding norm,*

$$\left\|(I - A_N)^{-1}\right\| < +\infty.$$

4. *For any $\rho \ge 0$ and any $x \in \ell_2(D)$, the equation*

$$(1 + \rho)y = A_N y + a(x) \tag{11}$$

has a unique solution $\widehat{y} \in \ell_2^M$.

Theorem 3. *Assume that at least one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

1. *the set M is finite and $\rho \ge 0$; or*
2. *$\rho > 0$.*

Then the solution of (9) is robust with respect to data errors and truncation, in the sense that

$$\|y_{\rho,\eta,N} - y_\rho\|_{\ell_2^M} \to 0 \quad as \quad N \to +\infty \quad and \quad \|\eta\|_{\ell_2(D)} \to 0.$$

Here y_ρ denote the solution in ℓ_2^M of equation (9), and $y_{\rho,\eta,N}$ denote the solution in ℓ_2^M of equation (11) with x replaced by $x = x_\eta$, such that $x \in \ell_2(D)$, $\eta \in \ell_2(D)$, and $x_\eta = x + \eta$.

Theorem 3 establishes robustness with respect to truncation of (A, x) and with respect to the presence of the noise in the input. Therefore, this theorem justifies acceptance of a result for (A_N, x_η) as an approximation of the sought result for (A, x).

5 Application to forecasting

Assume that we observe past values $\{x(t)\}_{\{t\leq 0\}}$ and wish to predict the values at $t \in \widetilde{M}$, where $\widetilde{M} = \{1, ..., \widetilde{m}\}$. using the extension $\widehat{x}|_{\mathbb{Z}^+}$ of a band-limited approximation of $x|_{\mathbb{Z}^-}$, i.e., solution of problem (2) with $D = \mathbb{Z}^-$ and $M = \mathbb{Z}^+$. In this case, we have not established the continuity of the operator $(I - A)^{-1}$. Moreover, our numerical experiments show that the minimal eigenvalues of the truncated operator $I - A_N$ converges to zero as $N \to +\infty$. To ensure numerical stability and exclude excessive growth of $\widehat{x}(t)$ for $t > 0$, we may use a modified problem (8) with $\rho > 0$. Let $\widehat{x}_\rho$ be the corresponding solution. Unfortunately this approach always leads to decreasing of the norm $\widehat{x}_\rho|_{\mathbb{Z}^-}$, so the approximation error $\|x - \widehat{x}_\rho\|_{\ell_2(\mathbb{Z}^-}$ can be large.

We suggest an alternative approach. We suggest the following algorithm for predicting of $x|_M$:

1. Select $m > \widetilde{m}$. Set $M = \{1, ..., m\}$ and $D = \mathbb{Z}\backslash M = \mathbb{Z}^- \cup \widetilde{D}$.
2. Select some dummy sequence $z \in \ell_2(\widetilde{D})$ as a dummy long-horizon forecast. Here $\widetilde{D} \triangleq \{T \in \mathbb{Z} : t > m\}$.
3. Find $\widehat{x} \in \ell_2^{BL}$ solving problem (2) or (8) with
4. Accept $\widehat{x}(t)$ as an optimal short-horizon forecast for $t = 1, ..., \widetilde{m}$ (optimal given Ω).

It can be noted that, for finite M, the operator $(I-A)^{-1} : \ell_2^M \to \ell_2^M$ is continuous, i.e., we may accept $\rho = 0$. in (8). This approach does not replace minimization of the distance $\|x-\widehat{x}_\rho\|_{\ell_2(\mathbb{Z}^-}$ by minimization of a different functional. Therefore, selection of any z does not lead to increasing of this distance. However, our numerical experiments show that the minimal eigenvalues of operator

$I - A$ converges to zero as $|M| \to +\infty$, where $|M|$ is the number of elements of M. This is the reason why we may use solution of (8) with some small $\rho > 0$, to achieve more robust numerical stability.

In some numerical experiments described below, we found that if $\widetilde{m}$ is significantly smaller than m then the choice of a dummy long-horizon forecast $z|_{\widetilde{D}}$ has a relatively weak impact on the short-horizon forecast $\widehat{x}|_{\widetilde{M}}$.

This can be explained as the following.

Let $\widehat{z} \in \ell_2(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z}^-)$ and $\widetilde{m} > 0$ be given, and let $z \in \ell_2(\widetilde{D})$ be selected such that $z(t) = \widehat{z}(t - m + 1)$, $t > m$. We have that

$$\widehat{x} = (I - A_\rho)^{-1} a(x) = (I - A_\rho)^{-1} (\mathbb{I}_{\{t \le 0\}} a(x)) + \widehat{x}_m,$$

where

$$\widehat{x}_m = (I - A_\rho)^{-1} (\mathbb{I}_{\{t > m\}} a_\rho(x)) = (I - A_\rho)^{-1} (\mathbb{I}_{\{t > m\}} (h \circ (\nu_m(z))).$$

Here $\nu_m(z)$ is an element of ℓ_2 such that $\nu_m(z)(t) = z(t)$ for $t \ge m$ and $\nu_m(z)(t) = 0$ for $t < m$. Clearly, for any $\widehat{z}$, we have that $(\mathbb{I}_{\{t > m\}} (h \circ (\nu_m(z))) \to 0$ weakly in ℓ_2 as $m \to +\infty$. Hence $\widehat{x}_m \to 0$ weakly in ℓ_2 as $m \to +\infty$. It follows that $x_m(t) \to 0$ as $m \to +\infty$ for $t = 1, .., m_0$. In other words,

$$\widehat{x}|_{\widetilde{M}} \to (I - A_\rho)^{-1} (\mathbb{I}_{\{t \le 0\}} a(x))|_{\widetilde{M}} \quad \text{as} \quad m \to +\infty;$$

the limit here does not depend on z. This implies that the short-horizon forecast obtained by this method can be similarly meaningful for different choices of the dummy long-horizon forecast z. We observed this feature in some numerical experiments described below.

In these experiments, we calculated the solution $\widehat{x}|_M$ of linear system (5) for a given x directly using build-in MATLAB operation for solution of linear algebraic systems.

We used truncated input sequences $\{x(t)\}_{t \in \{-q, ..., 0\}}$ and matrices $\{A_{t,m}\}_{k,m \in \{1, ..., N\}}$, for $q, N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. We selected $N > m$ and $q = N$. The sequences were generated using Monte-Carlo simulation. The experiments demonstrated a good numerical stability of the method; the calculations were completed in few seconds; the results were quite robust with respect to deviations of input processes and truncation.

Figure 1 shows an example of a process $x(t)$, and examples of the corresponding band-limited extensions $\widehat{x}|_M$ obtained from (9) with $\Omega = 0.25\pi$, $q = -60$, $N = 60$, $m = 12$, $M = \{1, 2, ..., m\}$

with two different dummy sequences z (i.e. dummy long-horizon forecasts). Since our method does not require $\widehat{x}(t)|_{t\notin M}$, these values were not calculated; the extension $\widehat{x}(t)|_{t\in M}$ was derived directly from $x(t)|_{t\leq 0}$. Respectively, the values $\widehat{x}(t)|_{t\notin M}$ are not shown.

It can be noted that the paths $\{\widehat{x}(t)\}_{1\leq 1\leq \widetilde{m}}$ are close if $\widetilde{m}$ is small.

As was mentioned above, the extension $\widehat{x}|_{t>0}$ to the future times $t = 1, .., \widetilde{m}$ can be interpreted as an optimal forecast of $x|_{t\leq 0}$ (optimal in the sense of problem (8) given Ω and ρ).



Figure 1: Examples of $x(t)$ and band-limited interpolations/forecasts $\widehat{x}(t)$ for two different dummy long-term forecasts.

6 Proofs

Proofs are similar to the proofs given in [8] for the case where $D = \mathbb{Z}^-$.

Proof of Proposition 1. It suffices to consider the case where $\{t : \ t \leq 0\} \subset D$. Furthermore, it suffices to prove that if $x(\cdot) \in \ell_2^{BL}$ is such that $x(t) = 0$ for $t \leq 0$, then $x(t) = 0$ for $t > 0$. The proof of this repeats the proof of Proposition 1 [8]. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 1. It suffices to prove that $\ell_2^{BL}(D)$ is a closed linear subspace of $\ell_2(D)$. In this case, there exists a unique projection $\widehat{x}$ of $x \in \ell_2(D)$ on $\ell_2^{BL}(D)$, and the theorem will be proven.

Consider the mapping $\zeta : \mathbb{B} \to \ell_2^{BL}(D)$ such that $x(t) = (\zeta(X))(t) = (\mathcal{Z}^{-1}X)(t)$ for $t \in D$. It is a linear continuous operator. By Proposition 1, it is a bijection.

Since the mapping $\zeta : \mathbb{B} \to \ell_2^{BL}(D)$ is continuous, it follows that the inverse mapping $\zeta^{-1} : \ell_2^{BL}(D) \to \mathbb{B}$ is also continuous; see, e.g., Corollary in Ch.II.5 [28], p. 77. Since the set $\mathbb{B}$ is a closed linear subspace of $L_2(-\pi, \pi)$, it follows that $\ell_2^{BL}(D)$ is a closed linear subspace of $\ell_2(D)$. This completes the proof of Lemma 1. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 2. Let us prove statement (i). Let $y \in \ell_2^M$. In this case, $y \notin \ell_2^{BL}$; it follows, for instance, from Proposition 1. Let $Y = \mathcal{Z}y$. We have that $\mathcal{Z}(h \circ y) = H\left(e^{i\omega}\right) Y\left(e^{i\omega}\right)$. Hence $\|H\left(e^{i\omega}\right) Y\left(e^{i\omega}\right)\|_{L_2(-\pi,\pi)} < \|Y\left(e^{i\omega}\right)\|_{L_2(-\pi,\pi)}$. This implies that $\|h \circ y\|_{\ell_2} < \|y\|_{\ell_2}$. Hence

$$\|Ay\|_{\ell_2^M} = \|\mathbb{I}_M(h \circ y)\|_{\ell_2} \leq \|h \circ y\|_{\ell_2} < \|y\|_{\ell_2} = \|y\|_{\ell_2^M}.$$

This completes the proof of statement (i) of Lemma 2. Statement (ii) follows from statement (i) Statement (iii) follows from statement (i) and from the fact that ℓ_2^M is finite dimensional. This completes the proof of Lemma 2. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1. Assume that the input sequences $\{x(t)\}_{t \in D}$ are extended on M such that $x(t) = \widehat{x}(t)$ for $t \in M$. Then $\widehat{x}$ is a unique solution of the minimization problem

$$\text{Minimize} \quad \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} |x_{BL}(t) - x(t)|^2 \quad \text{over} \quad x_{BL} \in \ell_2^{BL}. \tag{12}$$

By the property of the low-pass filters, $\widehat{x} = h \circ x$. Hence the optimal process $\widehat{x} \in \ell_2^{BL}$ from Lemma 1 is such that

$$\widehat{x} = h \circ (\nu(x) + \widehat{x}\mathbb{I}_M).$$

For $\widehat{y} = \mathbb{I}_M \widehat{x}$, we have that

$$\widehat{y} = \mathbb{I}_M \left(h \circ (\nu(x) + \widehat{x}\mathbb{I}_M)\right) = \mathbb{I}_M(h \circ \nu(x)) + \mathbb{I}_M(h \circ (\widehat{x}\mathbb{I}_M)) = a(x) + A\widehat{y}. \tag{13}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. □

Proof of Lemma 3. As was shown in the proof of Lemma 1, $\ell_2^{BL}(D)$ is a closed linear subspace of $\ell_2(D)$. The quadratic form in (8) is positively defined. Then the proof follows. □

Proof of Theorem 2. First, let us show that the optimal process $\widehat{x}_\rho \in \ell_2^{BL}$ which existence is established in Lemma 3 is such that

$$\widehat{x}_\rho = \frac{1}{1+\rho}\, h \circ (\nu(x) + \widehat{x}_\rho \mathbb{I}_M)\,. \tag{14}$$

Let $x'_\rho = x\mathbb{I}_D + \widehat{x}_\rho \mathbb{I}_M$. Then $\widehat{x}_\rho$ is an unique solution of the minimization problem

$$\text{Minimize} \quad \sum_{t\in\mathbb{Z}} |x_{BL}(t) - x'_\rho(t)|^2 + \rho \|x_{BL}\|^2_{\ell_2} \quad \text{over} \quad x_{BL} \in \ell_2^{BL}.$$

It follows that $\widehat{x}_\rho = (1+\rho)^{-1}\widetilde{x}_\rho$, where $\widetilde{x}_\rho$ is an unique solution of the minimization problem

$$\text{Minimize} \quad \sum_{t\in\mathbb{Z}} |x_{BL}(t) - x'_\rho(t)|^2 \quad \text{over} \quad x_{BL} \in \ell_2^{BL}.$$

By the property of the low-pass filters, $\widetilde{x}_\rho = h \circ x'_\rho$. By the definitions, it follows that

$$(1+\rho)\widehat{x}_\rho = \widetilde{x}_\rho = h \circ \left(\nu(x) + x'_\rho \mathbb{I}_M\right) = h \circ (\nu(x) + \widehat{x}_\rho \mathbb{I}_M)\,.$$

Similarly to equations (13) in the proof of Theorem 1, we prove that (14) holds.

Further, equation (14) is equivalent to equation (9) which, on its turn, is equivalent to the equation

$$y = A_\rho y + a_\rho(x).$$

Since the operator $(I - A_\rho)^{-1} : \ell_2^M \to \ell_2^M$ is continuous, this equation has an unique solution $y_\rho = \widehat{x}_\rho\, \mathbb{I}_M = (I - A_\rho)^{-1} a_\rho(x)$ in ℓ_2^M, and the required estimate for $\|y_\rho\|_{\ell_2^M}$ holds. This completes the proof of Theorem 2. □

Proof of Lemma 4. Let us prove statement (i); this proof follows the proof of Lemma 2(i). Let $D_N = \{t:\ 1 \le t \le N\}$, and let $z = \mathbb{I}_{D_N} y \in \ell_2^M$. Under the assumptions on y, we have that $z \neq 0$. In this case, $z_N \notin \ell_2^{BL}$; it follows, for instance, from Proposition 1. Let $Z = \mathcal{Z}z$. We have that $\mathcal{Z}(h \circ y) = H\left(e^{i\omega}\right) Z\left(e^{i\omega}\right)$. Hence $\|Z\left(e^{i\omega}\right) Y\left(e^{i\omega}\right)\|_{L_2(-\pi,\pi)} < \|Z\left(e^{i\omega}\right)\|_{L_2(-\pi,\pi)}$. This implies that $\|h \circ z\|_{\ell_2} < \|z\|_{\ell_2}$. Hence

$$\|A_N y\|_{\ell_2^M} = \|\mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{I}_{D_N}}(h \circ z)\|_{\ell_2} \le \|h \circ z\|_{\ell_2} < \|z\|_{\ell_2} \le \|y\|_{\ell_2^M}.$$

This completes the proof of statement (i). This completes the proof of statement (i). The proof of (ii) is similar; in this case, the case where $z = 0$ is not excluded.

Let us prove statement (ii)-(iii). Consider a matrix $\bar{A}_N = \{A_{t,m}\}_{1\le t,m\le N} \in \mathbf{R}^{N\times N}$. Suppose that this matrix is degenerate, i.e. that there exists a non-zero $z = \{z(t)\}_{t=1}^N \in \mathbf{R}^n$ such that $\bar{A}z = 0$. Let $y \in \ell_2^M$ be such that $y(t) = z(t)$, $1 \le t \le N$, and $y(t) = 0$ for $t > N$. In this case, $A_N y = 0$ which would contradict the statement (i). Therefore, the matrix $\bar{A}_N$ is non-degenerate.

Further, the space ℓ_2^M is isomorphic to the space $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbf{R}^N \times \ell_2(N+1, +\infty)$, i.e. $y \in \ell_2^M$ can be represented as $(\bar{y}, \widetilde{y}) \in \mathcal{Y}$, where $\bar{y} = (y(1), ..., y(N))^\top \in \mathbf{R}^N$ and $\widetilde{y} = y|_{t>N} \in \ell_2(N+1, +\infty)$. Respectively, the sequence $A_N y \in \ell_2^M$ is represented as $(\bar{A}_N\bar{y}, y|_{t>N}) \in \mathcal{Y}$, the sequence $y - A_N y \in \ell_2^M$ is represented as $(\bar{y} - \bar{A}_N\bar{y}, 0_{\ell_2(N+1,+\infty)}) \in \mathcal{Y}$. Hence the sequence $(I - A_N)^{-1}y \in \ell_2^M$ is represented as $((I_N - \bar{A}_N)^{-1}\bar{y}, y|_{t>N}) \in \mathcal{Y}$, where $I_N : \mathbf{R}^N \to \mathbf{R}^N$ is the identity operator. It follows that equation (11) has an unique solution $y \in \ell_2^M$ defined as the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(y(1), ..., y(N))^\top = (1+\rho)^{-1}\bar{y} \in \mathbf{R}^N, \quad y(t) = a(x,t), \quad t > N,\\
&\bar{y} = (1+\rho)^{-1}\bar{A}_N^{-1}b, \quad b = \{a(x,t)\}_{1\le t\le N} \in \mathbf{R}^N.
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4. □

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $e_N = y_{\rho,\eta,N} - y_\rho$. We have that

$$
(1+\rho)e_N = A_N e_N + (A_N - A)y_\rho + a(x_\eta) - a(x). \tag{15}
$$

We have that $(A_N y = \mathbb{I}_{D_N}(h \circ (\mathbb{I}_{D_N} y))$, where $D_N = \{t : \ 1 \le t \le N\}$. Hence

$$
(A_N - A)y_\rho = \mathbb{I}_{D_N}(h \circ (\mathbb{I}_{D_N} y_\rho)) - \mathbb{I}_M(h \circ y_\rho)) = \widehat{\zeta}_{N,\rho} + \widetilde{\zeta}_{N,\rho},
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\zeta}_{N,\rho} &= \mathbb{I}_{D_N}[h \circ (\mathbb{I}_{D_N} y_\rho) - h \circ y_\rho] = \mathbb{I}_{D_N}[h \circ (\mathbb{I}_{D_N} y_\rho - y_\rho)]\\
&= \mathbb{I}_{D_N}[h \circ (\mathbb{I}_{D_N} y_\rho - y_\rho)] = -\mathbb{I}_{D_N}[h \circ (\mathbb{I}_{\{t:\ t>N\}} y_\rho)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{\zeta}_{N,\rho} = [\mathbb{I}_{D_N} - \mathbb{I}_M](h \circ y_\rho) = -\mathbb{I}_{\{t:\ t>N\}}(h \circ y_\rho).
$$

Clearly, $\|\widehat{\zeta}_{N,\rho}\|_{\ell_2^M} \to 0$ and $\|\widetilde{\zeta}_{N,\rho}\|_{\ell_2^M} \to 0$ as $N \to +\infty$. Hence $\|(A_N - A)y_\rho\|_{\ell_2^M} \to 0$ as $N \to +\infty$.

By the properties of the sinc functions presented in (5),

$$\|a(x) - a(x_\eta)\|_{\ell_2^M} \leq \|\eta\|_{\ell_2(D)}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\|e_{\rho,\eta,N}\|_{\ell_2^+} &\leq \|(I - A_\rho)^{-1}\|\Big(\|(A_N - A)y_\rho\|_{\ell_2^+} + \|a_\rho(x) - a_\rho(x_\eta)\|_{\ell_2^+}\Big) \\ &\leq \|(I - A_\rho)^{-1}\|\Big(\|(A_N - A)y_\rho\|_{\ell_2^+} + \|\eta\|_{\ell_2(D)}\Big) \to 0\end{aligned}$$

as $N \to +\infty$ and $\|\eta\|_{\ell_2(D)} \to 0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3. □

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by ARC grant of Australia DP120100928 to the author.

References

[1] Y. Alem, Z. Khalid, R.A. Kennedy. (2014). Band-limited extrapolation on the sphere for signal reconstruction in the presence of noise, *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. ICASSP'2014*, pp. 4141-4145.

[2] J.M. Almira, A.E. Romero. (2008). How distant is the ideal filter of being a causal one? *Atlantic Electronic Journal of Mathematics* **3** (1) 46–55.

[3] T. Cai, G. Xu, and J. Zhang. (2009), On recovery of sparse signals via ℓ_1 minimization, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 55, no. 7, pp. 3388-3397.

[4] E. Candés, T. Tao. (2006), Near optimal signal recovery from random projections: Universal encoding strategies? *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 52(12) (2006), 5406-5425.

[5] E.J. Candes, J. Romberg, T. Tao. (2006). Robust uncertainty principles: Exact signal reconstruction from highly incomplete frequency information. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* **52** (2), 489–509.

[6] N.Dokuchaev. (2012). On predictors for band-limited and high-frequency time series. *Signal Processing* **92**, iss. 10, 2571-2575.

[7] N. Dokuchaev. (2012). Predictors for discrete time processes with energy decay on higher frequencies. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing* **60**, No. 11, 6027-6030.

[8] N. Dokuchaev. (2015). A closed equation in time domain for band-limited extensions of one-sided sequences. Working paper: ArXiv 1504.02298.

[9] N. Dokuchaev. (2016). Near-ideal causal smoothing filters for the real sequences. *Signal Processing* **118**, iss. 1, pp. 285-293.

[10] N. Dokuchaev. (2016). On recovering missing values in a pathwise setting. Working paper: ArXiv 1604.04967.

[11] D. L. Donoho and P. B. Stark. (1989). Uncertainty principles and signal recovery. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 906–931.

[12] P. G. S. G. Ferreira (1994). Interpolation and the discrete Papoulis-Gerchberg algorithm. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing* **42** (10), 2596–2606.

[13] P. G. S. G. Ferreira (1995a). Nonuniform sampling of nonbandlimited signals. *IEEE Signal Processing Letters* **2**, Iss. 5, 89–91.

[14] P. G. S. G. Ferreira (1995b). Approximating non-band-limited functions by nonuniform sampling series. In: *SampTA'95, 1995 Workshop on Sampling Theory and Applications*, 276–281.

[15] P. J. S. G. Ferreira, A. Kempf, and M. J. C. S. Reis (2007). Construction of Aharonov-Berrys superoscillations. *J. Phys. A, Math. Gen.*, vol. 40, pp. 5141–5147.

[16] A. Jerri (1977). The Shannon sampling theorem - its various extensions and applications: A tutorial review. *Proc. IEEE* **65**, 11, 1565–1596.

[17] A.N. Kolmogorov. (1941). Interpolation and extrapolation of stationary stochastic series. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.,* 5:1, 3–14.

[18] D.G. Lee, P.J.S.G. Ferreira, (2014). Direct construction of superoscillations. *IEEE Transactions on Signal processing*, V. 62, No. 12, 3125-3134.

[19] V. V. Peller (2000). Regularity conditions for vectorial stationary processes. *In: Complex Analysis, Operators, and Related Topics. The S.A. Vinogradov Memorial Volume.* Ed. V. P. Khavin and N. K. Nikol'skii. Birkhauser Verlag, pp 287-301.

[20] M. Pourahmadi (1984). On minimality and interpolation of harmonizable stable processes. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 44, No. 5, pp. 1023–1030.

[21] M. Pourahmadi. Estimation and interpolation of missing values of a stationary time series. *J. Time Ser. Anal.*, 10 (1989), pp. 149-169

[22] D. Slepian, D., Pollak, H.O. (1961). Prolates pheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertainty-I. *Bell Syst.Tech.J.* **40**, 43–63.

[23] G. Szegö. (1920). Beiträge zur Theorie der Toeplitzschen Formen. *Math. Z.* 6, 167–202.

[24] G. Szegö. (1921). Beiträge zur Theorie der Toeplitzschen Formen, II. *Math. Z.* 9, 167-190.

[25] R. Tzschoppe, J. B. Huber. (2009). Causal discrete-time system approximation of non-bandlimited continuous-time systems by means of discrete prolate spheroidal wave functions. *Eur. Trans. Telecomm.***20**, 604–616.

[26] J. Tropp and A. Gilbert. (2007). Signal recovery from partial information via orthogonal matching pursuit, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 53, no. 12, pp. 4655–4666.

[27] N. Wiener. (1949). *Extrapolation, Interpolation, and Smoothing of Stationary Time Series with Engineering Applications*, Technology Press MIT and Wiley, New York.

[28] Yosida, K. (1965). *Functional Analysis.* Springer, Berlin Heilderberg New York.

[29] H. Zhao, R. Wang, D. Song, T. Zhang, D. Wu/ (2014). Extrapolation of discrete bandlimited signals in linear canonical transform domain *Signal Processing* **94**, 212–218.

[30] H. Zhao, R. Wang, D. Song, T. Zhang, Y. Liu. (2014). Unified approach to extrapolation of bandlimited signals in linear canonical transform domain. *Signal Processing* **101**, 65–73.